FILED UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NOVINCE ORI 1 EASTERN DIVISION STUART CUNNINGHAM, CLERK 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUPT 3 BALLY MANUFACTURING CORPORATION. : 4 Plaintiff : Civil Action 5 : No. 78 C 2246 6 D. GOTTLIEB & COMPANY, WILLIAMS ELECTRONICS, INC., 7 DOCKETED and ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL, 8 Defendants : NOV 1 0 1984 VOLUME III 9 10 Pages 279 - 368, inclusive 11 sent they was are metern tid to in the 12 Deposition of: GREGORY COX Defendant Gottlieb Taken by : 13 Before Susan M. Simon, RPR Reporter-Notary Public 15 8:30 a.m., Monday October 12, 1981 Beginning : 16 1500 South Atherton State College, Pennsylvania 17 18 COUNSEL PRESENT: A. SIDNEY KATZ, Esquire 19 For - Plaintiff 20 MELVIN M. GOLDENBERG, Esquire For - Defendant Williams Electronics 21 BENJAMIN NOVAK, Esquire 22 For - Gregory Cox A to a second at the second and 23 COUNSEL OF RECORD: 24 SYDNEY M. LEACH, Esquire For - Defendants Gottlieb and Rockwell 25



GREGORY COX, having been previously sworn, testified as follows:

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- Q You are under oath, and this is a resumption of your deposition. Do you understand that?
  - A I understand, yes.
- Q Mr. Cox, do you recall some questions that Mr. Leach put to you about telephone conversations that the two of you had had before your deposition was taken here?
- A Yes, I do. The questions you are referring to in the prior testimony?
  - Q Yes.
  - A Yes.
- Q Do you recall, sir, in any one of those conversations telling Mr. Leach that if he wanted to speak to you he would have to make arrangements through Mr. Schnayer?
  - A In the telephone conversations that transpired?
  - Q Yes, sir.
  - A Yes, I remember that.
  - Q Why did you do that, sir?
- A That was at Mr. Schnayer's request since they had retained me as a consultant. He wanted me to be a party to any testimony or information that I provided to Mr. Leach or his firm or your firm.

Q You say you were retained as a consultant. Exactly how were you going to consult, sir?

A The agreement was that I was to be paid on an hourly basis for the time I spent associated with the analysis of the El Toro pinball game and providing information about various other events that transpired during my employment at Cyan Engineering.

Q What do you mean by providing information, sir, would you explain?

A Factual evidence about events that transpired during the time I was at Cyan Engineering.

Q Is it correct, sir, that you were also to be paid during any time you spent giving your deposition in this case?

A Yes, depositions or Court testimony were requirements for my time.

Q Were you to advise them on any technical matters?

A Of what nature? Outside the scope of the El Toro pinball machine?

...Q .. Yes, sir. od the property of the second transfer of the seco

A ... No. 100 of the are the converse ton was fire into

Q Were you to advise them on any technical matters with respect to the El Toro pinball machine?

A In the perspective of the work I did on it and the information I knew about it.

Q So in other words you were to be paid for meeting with

GEIGER & LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109-

them, talking on the telephone to them, giving testimony about facts within your knowledge, is that correct, sir?

A Yes. Large part of

Q Pursuant to these instructions from Mr. Schnayer you had no further telephone conversations with Mr. Leach, isn't that correct?

A He did not call me again, that is correct.

Q Did you in the course of the conversation where you told him that you had had these instructions from Mr. Schnayer -- let me withdraw that.

In the course of this conversation where you told him you had these instructions from Mr. Schnayer, did you go on and talk to him about the matter or was that the end of the conversation?

A As I recall the conversation it was brief, three to five minutes in length. He asked a few questions which I answered. I don't recall the specifics of those questions.

After a few minutes I felt that any more information should be coordinated through Mr. Schnayer based on his request. I informed Mr. Leach of that and the conversation was terminated.

Q You have had no further conversations with Mr. Leach since that time, is that correct?

Q You had none with me, have you, none at all?

pri Arra No., rapid - unul mot i med Artal da specif chelo i med

GEIGER & LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109-

5

Q Prior to appearing for your deposition on September 11, did you have any meetings with the attorneys for Bally on that day or the day before that day?

A The day before that Mr. Novak and myself had dinner with Mr. Leach and Mr. Welsh.

Q Mr. Katz? Will pec at Alba Ver

A I'm sorry, Mr. Katz and Mr. Welsh.

Q Could you tell me, sir, what was said in the course of that dinner meeting?

A We discussed the format of the deposition since I was unfamiliar with a deposition, what would be expected of me, what the proceedings would be like.

As I recall we covered some of the points there in the affidavit, and I forget the specific term for the second document -- declaration.

Other than that just social pleasantries.

Q Did you have a meeting the morning of the deposition, meet for breakfast or anything?

A I don't believe so. I don't recall having breakfast with them that morning.

MR. KATZ: During this pause I would like to renew our offer to the defendants that we would be glad to have the defendants split the cost of the compensation that is being paid for Mr. Cox' time at the deposition since we feel that professional people should not be required to spend their time

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

25

24

on matters they have no interest in without being adequately compensated.

We made the offer once, and we never got an answer. I don't expect that I will get an answer this time either.

MR. GOLDENBERG: You may get a response, sir. don't know that you will get an answer.

There was no such offer ever made to me. If it were made to me I would turn it down. I think it has become quite clear I think the whole procedure is inappropriate.

MR. KATZ: We have a dispute there.

MR. GOLDENBERG: There are agencies that handle that, sir. ad had tone identible expensence is a process in that you n

#### BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- Do you recall, sir, as we closed the last deposition session I was interrogating you about the matter of stuck switches?
  - Yes. Man in Clarc. For to a compare on the cold Α
  - Have you reviewed the transcript of the last session? 0
  - Yes. had encour erec see . a si had a l which has
- Q I believe it was your testimony that according to the program that you wrote, sir, if a switch is stuck closed the program would not proceed and that it would not go on and sample the next switch and do whatever had to be done in response to any indication the system picked up at the next switch, is that correct, sir?

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

20

21

19

22

24

23

25

Not completely. Α

Could you state it? Q

The program would continue to sample other Α switches and would continue to cycle in that sampling sequence. However, it would fail to respond to the closure of the switches and the manner of accumulating score or activating a light or kicker response to the switch closure.

In connection with your work on the El Toro game, did you ever encounter a switch stuck closed?

Not that I recall.

At the time you did your work on the El Toro game, you had had considerable experience as a programmer, had you not?

Yes. Α

Do you believe it to have been within your skill that if you had encountered that situation to revise the program to accommodate that situation, if it had been encountered?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as calling for speculation.

If I had encountered such a situation, I would have modified the program to make some allowance for it. Just what or how I couldn't state. It would have been some attempt to alleviate the effects of such a problem.

BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

You think you could have done it? Q

Yes.

I think you said you did encounter the situation of Q 1 the switch stuck in the open position during that period? 2 A Yes. year I know of he shert tells 3 And all that was done there was to bend the switch 4 contact and to make it operate properly, is that correct? 5 As I recall it, yes. 6 So would it be a fair statement that in both situations 0 7 -- the switch stuck open or the switch stuck closed -- it was 8 within the level of skill that you had at that time to correct 9 the situation if either one or both of those situations had 10 occurred? The statement arando un otrown. It have to tak 11 MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as calling for 12 pure speculation from this witness. 13 I believe so, yes. the trace resus were nor territoring 14 BY MR. GOLDENBERG: A PROPERTY AT 15 Sir, do you have your affidavit in front of you which 16 Q 17 I think is Exhibit 24? 18 Yes, sir. and in the afficher. A 19 Would you turn to page 3, specifically to paragraph Q 20 11 on that page. The you are the set of content the woods. Let me know when you have read the entire paragraph, 21 22 sir. ......... and to my blandense they were not. 23 I have completed it. Α 24 As to whether or not any tests were performed on the Q 25 modified El Toro to determine the machine's susceptibility to

GEIGER & LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109-

25

electronic static noise, you really don't know, do you, sir?

A I would know what work was done on the El Toro during the time I was there. I know of no such tests.

Q You know every bit of work that was done on the El Toro during the time that you were there?

A Any work of significance, yes.

Q You were with it at all times?

A I was in attendance at the job every day.

Q But you still really don't know, sir? You were not responsible for the electrical circuitry, were you?

A The statement stands on its own. It says to my knowledge those tests were not performed.

Q But I am saying, sir, you really don't know.

A I cannot guarantee that those tests were not performed if that is what you are getting at.

Q What I am getting at, sir, or trying to get at is what you know.

A Which is stated in the affidavit.

Q You don't know whether tests were performed, do you?

A It appears to me you are trying to distort the wording of this affidavit. It says to my knowledge these tests weren't performed, and to my knowledge they were not.

Q But you don't know whether or not they were, sir, do you?

A I don't know that they were.

GEIGER & CORIA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

You don't know either way? 1 I believe they were not. 2 Α Q But you don't know, do you, sir? 3 MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as having been 4 asked and answered. I think you have reached to point of 5 badgering the witness. 6 BY MR. GOLDENBERG: 7 You can answer the question, sir. 8 0 A No, I cannot. Q Why not? The second at worth the City Level and the second to the 10 A What is knowledge? 11 Q I don't intend to get in a philosophical discussion, 12 13 sir. College and MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as indefinite as 14 stated. Apparently the witness doesn't understand your use of 15 16 the term. 17 Based on my understanding of what knowledge is or 18 knowing something, I know of no occurrence where such tests were 19 I believe that I would have been knowledgeable of performed. 20 them if they had taken place. 21 BY MR. GOLDENBERG: The state of 22 I see, sir. What is electrostatic noise? 23 Electrical interference within a circuit caused by 24 voltage differential due to static-type electricity. 25 Q How does it occur in a pinball game? How can it

GEIGER & ORIA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as calling for an opinion of the witness without having shown that he has ever

possibly occur in a pinball machine?

formed such an opinion in the past.

Also object to the question as lacking foundation.

A Could you repeat the question.

(Question read by reporter.)

A The most obvious method of occurrence within any type of device whether it be a machine or electrical or mechanical device would be some sort of a voltage differential between the player and the machine due to player walking across a wool carpet in a cool, dry climate, for instance.

BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q Were there any wool carpets at Cyan Engineering

delin A no Not that I recall.

Q Let me see if I understand you, sir. The player walking across the wool carpet, he accumulates an electrostatic charge?

A Yes. or print sees, he made judative to me and a

Q As he approaches the machine this charge somehow affects the voltages in the electrical circuitry of the game?

A It would be possible as he touched the game, touched the little part that was used as a ground on the machine it could introduce noise on the grounding circuits of the machine.

Q What metal parts were there in the El Toro that a player could touch and create that kind of noise?

A There were legs, there was a metal frame around the top of the glass.

- Q You are sure there were metal legs on the machine?
- A To the best of my recollection, yes.
- Q You are sure there was a metal frame around the glass covering the playfield, is that what you are referring to?
- el a A 1 Yes. We a Impie molatile.
- Q And the player could touch that. Is there any other way that you know of?
  - A Any other way of what?
  - Q Creating electrostatic noise on the pinball machine.
  - A I'm sure there are other ways. If a package or some other object were placed on the machine which had a charge differential from the pinball machine, some sort of package or object with a different charge were to be moved against the AC connecting wires, it could possibly produce a voltage noise on those wires through a coupling.
  - Q In your prior work, prior to joining Cyan Engineering, had you ever encountered the problem of electrostatic noise in the equipment that you were working on?
  - A Not electrostatic, no. I had dealt with other types of noise.
    - Q What other types, sir?

A Ground loop-type noise, coupling noise through high frequency digital logic circuits, cross coupling-type noise.

Q Is that not an uncommon problem in electronic equipment in your experience, sir?

MR. KATZ: I object to the question as vague and indefinite and too general.

A It is common in some situations but not in others.

Different types of noise are symptomized by different types of electrical hardware implementation.

Printed circuit boards tend to be more susceptible to noise coupling than boards with hard wires on them.

Q What kind of noise are printed circuit boards susceptible to?

A Coupling between traces on the boards, electrical circuits that are etched on the boards, when they run close to each other one can pick up a signal from the other which would act as noise or interference.

Q Based on your experience had engineers and others with whom you have been associated, have they developed techniques for taking care of those noise problems when they arise?

A Yes, there are design techniques. Generally they are not completely successful.

Q But they are known and used, are they not?

A Some are, yes.

- Q That was true in 1974, wasn't it?
- A Yes.

Q Could you explain as of 1974 what you understood some of those techniques to be?

A Providing solid ground connections through parallel pads to the ground pins of integrated circuits for instance.

In other words, instead of putting all the ground lines serially from chip to chip to parallel off the ground. This tended to reduce noise in the integrated circuits.

Secondly where high frequency logic signals were run on integrated circuit traces, these would be separated from other sensitive circuits on the circuit board.

Where high frequency signals were routed by wires, they would be insulated and shielded so that they wouldn't interfere with other wires nearby.

Techniques of that nature.

- Q Were you familiar with techniques of using actual circuits as noise suppressing circuits?
- A Yes.
  - Q Those were known in 1974 too, weren't they?
  - A Yes.
- Q Where noise problems were encountered, one or more of these techniques would be used to solve or almost solve the problem?

A yes.

6

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

MR. KATZ: I object to the question as leading.

### BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q Continuing on in paragraph 11, you state there, sir, that no tests were performed on the machine to determine if it accurately scored playfield switch closures other than finger tests which involved activating the playfield switches with a finger or ball to see if a proper score was recorded.

What test would be envisioned other than those, sir, or did you envision?

What tests were possible at that time other than those tests, sir?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as lacking foundation as to whether this witness has sufficient familiarity to know which or what such tests were possible.

I had no preconceived or preknowledge ideas about how to test the switches. I was not familiar with the testing of pinball playfields and had no specific opinion then or now as to a different type of test to conduct to verify proper playfield operations. BY MR. GOLDENBERG: Was the state of the stat

Q Did you observe the test that you just referred to in your affidavit?

Yes.

Q Did you observe in the course of any of those tests, sir, that the score was not recorded accurately?

A I don't recall a specific event of that, but it would have been probable during the early development cycle of the software and the hardware that there were problems which resulted in incorrect score accumulation which were later corrected.

Q Let's take the project at the time of the open house, sir, the status it had at that time.

Was it recording score correctly?

A To the best of my recollection, yes.

Q Was it recording score correctly at the time you left the employ of Cyan Engineering?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as lacking foundation.

BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q To To the extent that you know, sir.

A I'm not certain that the El Toro machine was being used with the INTELLEC system at the time I left the company, so I can't say. The last time I saw the machine in operation it was accumulating score properly.

Q When the game was being played were the flippers acting properly in response to the player use of the flipper control buttons?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as lacking foundation and indefinite.

A You will have to put that in a time frame as to when.

24

25

- BY MR. GOLDENBERG:  $Q \qquad \text{Let's take at the time of the open house, sir.}$ 
  - A Yes.
  - Q Let's take the last time you saw the game being played.
  - A Yes, the flippers were working properly.
  - Q Were the thumper bumpers responding properly?
  - A To the best of my recollection both at the open house and the last time I saw the game operating connected to the INTELLEC all portions of the game were operating properly.
    - Q The lights were lighting properly?
    - A Yes.
    - Q The score was being registered?
    - A Yes.
  - Q Every aspect of the game to your recollection, is that correct, sir?
    - A Yes.
  - Q At the time of the open house how was the game play initiated? Did a coin have to be dropped into the coin slot?
  - A As I recall the front access door to the machine was left unlocked and you could activate the coin switch mechanism with your finger to accumulate games.
  - Q On the backboard of the game, if you know what I mean, each time you did that was a credit registered?
    - A Yes.
    - Q That was displayed?

```
1
5
6
 7
 8
 9
10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
  17
  18
  19
  20
   21
   22
   23
   24
    25
```

Α

- Yes. Α Was that operating properly, sir? Q Yes. - we proved recognit garage Α How many balls were given for each game?
- Q As I recall that was selectable, either three or five
- At different times was that changed to give you Q different balls or five balls or was it always one or the other?
  - How do you mean at different times?
- Let's take in the period of the open house, sir. Was Q it set to give three balls or five balls?
- As I recall it was generally set to five balls. During the development of the game we tested to verify that both the three and five ball cycles operated properly, but generally it was left in the five ball play position.
- At the time you tested it during the development period when you set it for three balls, would it give three balls?
  - Yes.
- When you set it for five balls, would it give five 0 balls?
  - Α Yes.
- When it was set later on for five ball play, did it Q always give five balls?
  - Α To the best of my recollection, yes.
    - MR. KATZ: Objection to the series of questions as

lacking foundation.

## BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- Q Had you played pinball games before beginning work on the El Toro project?
- A Yes, I had.
  - Q That was just as diversion or amusement?
  - As Yes. The top and of the continue to make the think and
  - Q Not in any professional capacity, is that correct?
  - A That's correct. A constant wave of the actual and the actual and the actual actual and the actual actual
  - Q At the time you began work on the El Toro project, did you have any knowledge as to how a pinball game should play based on your prior exposure to them?
    - A I was familiar with pinball games and how they played.
  - Q Did you see any differences in the play of the El Toro game at the time of the open house from what your previous experience had shown you, sir?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as lacking foundation. I believe that the witness testified in the first session on direct examination by Mr. Leach that he had no specific recollection of any particular person playing the game at the open house and that he had no specific recollection that he played the game at the open house.

BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q I will revise my question, sir, to say around the time of the open house.

A At or near the completion of the El Toro pinball project when the machine was operating with the microprocessor controller it externally appeared to operate as it had before it had been modified, that is, in the electromechanical configuration.

It of course played different than other pinball machines but was typical of the operation of pinball machine.

Q What do you mean by played different?

A Other pinball machines have different arrays of switches and different types of lights and score differently, have different single or double play options, that sort of thing.

- Q Would it be correct, sir, what you meant by the answer that you just gave that an El Toro pinball machine is not like, say, an XYZ pinball machine manufactured by my client Williams Electronics?
  - A Correct.
  - Q Those were the only differences you saw?
- A Well, there were differences in how the score was displayed. Instead of using mechanical score switches, LED 7 segment displays were used.
  - Q Were the sounds the same, to the extent that you recall?
    - A The sounds were slightly different.
    - Q How were they different, sir?

A The relays had been removed from the machine so the relay noise was not present.

The noise from the mechanical score wheels were not present.

Q You spoke of the El Toro project being completed.
Could you explain what you meant by that?

A What I meant was the end of my participation in the development of the El Toro pinball machine.

Q When did that occur, sir?

A I would guess sometime in June of 1974, just prior to or about the time of the open house, maybe shortly thereafter. I'm not certain as to the exact time frame.

Q At that time did you have any conversation with any of your fellow employees at Cyan Engineering about the status of the El Toro project?

A I don't recall any specifically, but I'm sure I must have.

Q Do you recall any conversations with your fellow employees -- and when I say fellow employees I include your superiors whomever they may have been -- about whether or not a goal or objective had been achieved?

A Yes. It was my impression and also that of Steve Mayer as I recall it that we had met our initial objective going into the program which was to make a microprocessor control circuit which drove the El Toro pinball machine and

caused it to play like it did in its original electromechanical form.

- Q At that time or when you stopped work on the project, do I understand correctly that the INTELLEC 4 system was not physically in the El Toro cabinet, is that correct?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Were the circuit boards in the cabinet, do you know?
  - A Which circuit boards in which cabinet?
  - Q Well, the circuit boards on which there were the elements interfacing the INTELLEC with the El Toro game.
    - A Were they in the INTELLEC cabinet?
    - Q No, sir, were they in the El Toro cabinet.
  - A I don't believe so. I believe they were in a separate unit or enclosure distinct from the El Toro cabinet and the INTELLEC cabinet.
  - Q Would it be correct then you sort of had three units? You had the INTELLEC 4 unit in its enclosure, and then you had the interface boards in a separate enclosure.
    - A Yes.
  - Q Then the El Toro game in the third enclosure, but the third enclosure being the game cabinet?
    - A Yes.
  - Q Did that physical arrangement of parts in any way make playing the game difficult, to your knowledge?
    - $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$  . KATZ: Objection to the question as indefinite.

A As I recall it didn't interfere with the play of the game.

#### BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q Did it have any effect on the play of the game that you can see?

A No.

Q Do you recall the dimensions of the El Toro cabinet?

A No.

Q Do you recall them approximately?

A It appeared to be a standard size for a pinball machine. I would guess approximately 32 to 36 inches high at the playfield, maybe 20 inches wide, 22 inches wide.

Q The INTELLEC 4 contained far more than a micro-processor, did it not?

A Yes.

Q The actual microprocessor occupied a relatively small volume of the INTELLEC 4 container, isn't that true?

A Yes.

Q Even if you were to take the elements which turned the microprocessor into a microcomputer, that was still only a small volume of the INTELLEC 4 container, isn't that true?

A That may or may not be true depending on what your definition of microcomputer is and how many of the provisions of the INTELLEC 4 you feel are necessary to convert a microprocessor to a microcomputer.

Q Well, let's take not what I say, but let's take what you say.

A The INTELLEC 4 system contained a control panel with LED's and toggle switches. It contained the microprocessor. It contained memory. It contained interface circuits. It contained programs for software assembly, things of that sort.

If you define a microcomputer as a microprocessor with memory and input-ouptut interfaces, then that could be a fairly significant portion of the INTELLEC 4 system depending on how much memory and how much interface would be required.

Q Let's take the El Toro game and the portions of the INTELLEC 4 that were used to control that game once modified. Was that a major portion of the INTELLEC 4 cabinet or something less than that?

If it helps you, sir, I show you this enlarged drawing of the system which in its chopped-up version is in the record as Exhibit 21.

MR. KATZ: What the witness is looking at is marked GD-56.

A Those portions of the INTELLEC 4 system used for specific individual control of the El Toro pinball game would be few. However, if dedicated to the use of the pinball game it would not have provided the interactive method of control that the INTELLEC 4 provided and which was required for the development and debugging of the software and hardware of the

1 game. At the gome notes a colin collection

### BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q Sir, I am talking about once the development and debugging was complete and you were actually controlling the game.

Is it a fair statement that those parts would be relatively few, those parts of the INTELLEC 4 would be relatively few once you were through this development and debugging stage?

A I believe so but I couldn't be certain about that because that design was never dedicated to a specific application to the El Toro.

Q Can you by reference to this drawing, GD-56 -- I think the cut-up version is Exhibit 21 -- indicate those parts shown on the drawing which were in the INTELLEC 4?

MR. KATZ: I object to the question as mischaracterizing the document that the witness is looking at because the record will indicate there is no foundation in the record that this particular drawing actually depicted the El Toro system and in fact other testimony as Mr. Goldenberg knows indicates that in fact this particular drawing did not reflect the construction at any time of the El Toro project.

So I object to this question and lines of questions based on the document GD-56 because it is extracting from this particular witness opinions being formed now looking at this

3

5 6

7 8

9

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

document that have no basis in his actual factual recollection.

Therefore I think that this is totally improper, and I object to this kind of questioning unless it is established that as a foundation that this particular witness knows what the construction of the El Toro was apart from this particular drawing which in fact does not show it.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Are you finished?

MR. KATZ: Yes, sir.

#### BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q I am not purporting who showed that to the witness prior to the giving of his deposition. Mr. Schnayer did that.

If it didn't represent the El Toro I don't know what in the world he had in mind. Nevertheless, Mr. Cox --

A May I answer the question now?

Q Please. Rely on your memory and as much help as this document GD-56 may provide you.

A The drawing shows interfaces between various elements of the INTELLEC 4 system and the El Toro interface electronics if we can call it that.

It shows interfaces in several areas with the readonly memories, the microprocessor. It shows the read-only memories and the microprocessors or individual components of the schematic.

However, I know that there are additional components required, such as, clocks, DC voltgages, synchronizing signals,

read and write strobes which are required for the memories and for the microprocessor which are not shown on here.

So from this drawing I cannot infer how many components would be required to provide the control and memory and input-output functions that were provided in the INTELLEC 4.

Q Sir, if the drawing doesn't help you then, to the extent that your memory permits you to say that where the portions of the INTELLEC 4 were dedicated -- I think your word -- to control the El Toro game, were those components a very large percentage of the components in the INTELLEC 4 or a small percentage, however you want to characterize it, sir?

A I don't know what percentage of the INTELLEC 4 that would be.

- Q You don't know?
- A. No. s was just prior to the us no date.

Q Sir, returning again to Exhibit 24, your affidavit.

Paragraph 11, the last sentence.

You say: to my knowledge no tests were performed on the modified El Toro where the response of playfield switch closures to a ball in play were tested in real time other than by observation of the game during play.

Could you explain what you meant by that statement?

A That the game would be played normally and an observer would try to determine when a switch had been closed by the ball and try to determine if the score had been registered correctly.

GEIGER OF CRIA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109-

the correct chime rung, things of that sort.

Q Sir, what was the result of those tests or observations?

A As I recall they indicated that the game was operating properly.

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as being indefinite with respect to time frame.

BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q Let's deal with Mr. Katz' problem. What was the time frame that you were talking about in the answer that you just gave, sir?

A At or near the completion of my involvement in the project where the final version of the program and the final version of the interface hardware was being used with the El Toro game.

- Q This was just prior to the open house?
- the A and As best I recall, but I do recommend here were very
- Q Did you play the El Toro game before it was modified,
  - A I don't recall.
- Engineering did?
  - A . No.: Can Engineering and sown a call
- Q Do you recall, sir, after the project was completed or your work on it completed at or about the time of the open house whether anyone said it played different than it had

6

12

14

15 16

> 17 18

> > 19

21

20

22 23

24

25

played previously?

- A I don't recall such a comment.
- Q Do you recall, sir, making this sketch, Exhibit 25, of the layout of the Cyan Engineering offices at Grass Valley?
  - A Yes.
- Q Have you thought about the accuracy of that sketch since you made it, sir?
  - A Not really.
- Q If you were to think about it now, do you believe it to be accurate as you best recall?
  - A Yes.
- Q Do you recall any kind of area near the Cyan Engineering offices where there was some vending machines and some tables and chairs?
- A Yes, I remember vending machines. I don't remember the specifics of that area, but I do remember there were vending machines because it was common practice to go get a Coke from them or a softdrink.
- Q Do you recall where those machines were located with respect to the Cyan Engineering offices?
- A I believe they were one floor down on the floor below our offices at Cyan Engineering and down a hallway.
  - Q That is your best recollection on that?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Do you recall the El Toro game ever being located at

or near those vending machines after modificiation?

A No. I don't recall that the machine was ever outside the Cyan office during the time when it was being used in its microprocessor-controlled form. Those offices being that portion of Exhibit 25 indicated as the two laboratories, the lobby or the offices of Larry Emmons, Steve Mayer, Ron Milner or myself.

Q During the open house I believe it was your testimony that the El Toro game was in the area indicated as Lab 1, is that correct, sir?

A I believe that I indicated I wasn't certain where it was. I thought it was either in Lab 1 or in my office.

- Q Did the attendees at the open house other than the employees of Cyan Engineering enter the Cyan Engineering offices at any time in the course of that event?
  - A Would you repeat that please.
  - Q I made it a bit cumbersome.

During the open house were the attendees other than the employees of Cyan Engineering in the Cyan Engineering office?

- A Yes.
- Q Were they kept out of any of those offices?
- A Not that I recall.
- Q They could wander around?
- A I believe so.
- Q In the course of that wandering around they would have

1 seen the El Toro game?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as calling for speculation and being hypothetical in that your question presupposes something that the witness hasn't testified to.

You suppose, Mr. Goldenberg, that the people were wandering around. The witness said that he thought it was possible.

#### BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q Did they wander around, sir? I withdraw the previous question.

A To the best of my recollection the visitors from Atari hadfree access to all the areas within Cyan offices and wandered around, yes.

Q How about visitors other than those from Atari?

A There has been a great deal of testimony involved in who those visitors were. To the best of my recollection the only visitors were Atari employees and members of their immediate family.

Q When you say Atari employees, you include the Cyan Engineering people as well?

A Yes. pt to lour own immediate like a.

Q Did those visitors and members of the immediate families as you put it, were they in the Cyan Engineering office in the course of the open house?

to Arm Yes. Core there of her arm with a constant with the

GEIGER MORIA REPORTING SERVICE. INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

Q Were they prohibited from going into any of the offices in the course of that open house?

A Not that I recall, no. I was under the impression that they had free access to all of the offices.

Q I believe it is your testimony you don't recall whether or not any of those visitors played the El Toro game, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Was the El Toro game hidden from view during the open house?

A No, I don't believe so, no.

Q Who arranged the open house, sir?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as lacking foundation.

A I don't know.

### BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q You didn't do it, did you?

A No, I didn't.

Q You didn't extend invitations, did you?

A No, I did not.

Q Except to your own immediate family?

A Yes.

Q Do you recall during the occasion of the open house or that portion of it that took place in the Cyan Engineering laboratories, were there other games in existence other than

the El Toro game modified?

- A Yes, there were.
- Q Could you tell me what those other games were, sir?

A There was a version of the road racing game which was a video game where a car was driven around a racetrack.

There was another game in development called Quack which had ducks and birds flying across the CRT with a light rifle which was used to shoot them. I think that was on display. There may have been others which I don't recall.

Q Were any of those other games played by the visitors?

A I don't recall. To the best of my recollection all the games were on display and were operating and were available for the visitors to play. I am not trying to indicate that they weren't played. I just don't recall specifically seeing the games played.

Q Wasn't that one of the purposes of the open house to your understanding: to show the work being done at Cyan Engineering?

A Yes. The of a reconstruction of all weather that

Q The work that was being done at Cyan Engineering was the creation of electronic games of one kind or another?

A Yes.

Q So they were there for people to play, weren't they, on the occasion of the open house?

A Yes.

3

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

14

13

15

17

16

19

18

21

20

22 23

24

25

Q Page 3 still of your affidavit in paragraph 13 the sentence that starts at the bottom, could you read that.

A (Reading): It was my understanding that everyone present understood that all information learned at the open house was to be kept strictly company-confidential.

Q I believe it is your testimony earlier that the basis for that understanding was that Steve Mayer instructed you and others to that effect?

A Yes.

Q Would it surprise you, sir, if Mr. Mayer says that he recalls no such event?

A No.

Q So it may or may not have happened?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as trying to put your words in his mouth.

MR. GOLDENBERG: That is unsanitary, and I never do

MR. KATZ: We have seen differently, Mr. Goldenberg.

A To the best of my recollection that warning took place. Steve Mayer's recollection is what it is. It may or may not be accurate.

MR. KATZ: I object to the question further on the basis that there is no evidence that I am aware of that indicates what Mr. Mayer said or didn't say about that subject.

As far as I know, Mr. Goldenberg, it is merely an

attempt to intimidate the witness by supposing that Mayer would say something or not say something.

### BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- Q What time of the day did the visit to the laboratory occur on the day of the open house?
  - A Late morning as I recall it.
  - Q That occupied about two hours?
  - A Or less, I have earlier or not white the court of the
  - Q How much less?
  - A I don't recall the specific length of time of the visit. I would say between one and two hours.
  - Q You yourself had played El Toro, the modified El Toro game, had you not?
    - A Yes. trenail.
    - Q More than once?
    - A Yes, many times.
  - Q Did you come to any view as to whether or not the project was successful at that time?
  - A I could only judge success based on the objectives I was aware of for the project. Based on the knowledge that I had of the objectives it was successful.
    - Q What were the objectives, sir?
  - A To make a microprocessor-controlled El Toro that played as much like the electromechanical version as possible.
    - Q I notice in the affidavit, Exhibit 24, paragraph 13,

you say the open house was limited to members of the immediate families of Atari and Cyan Engineering.

Are you sure of that, sir?

- A To the best of my recollection, yes.
- Q So it is strictly your recollection, that is all you have at the moment?
  - A Yes, all I have is my knowledge of those events.
- Q You don't know whether or not someone came with a girl friend or a boy friend?
- A I don't recall any boy friends or girl friends, but I can't state that they weren't there.
- Q At this meeting that you had with Mr. Mayer where this discussion was given about secrecy, who else was there?
  - A I don't recall.
  - Q Do you recall the number of people that were there?
  - A No.
  - Q When did it occur?
  - A Within one to two weeks prior to the open house.
  - Q What time of the day?
  - A I don't recall.
  - Q Precisely what was said, sir?
  - A I don't recall the specifics of the conversation.
  - Q What was the essence of what was said?
  - A That we would have visitors to the laboratory, to the Cyan offices coming from Atari, it would be Atari employees

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

and their families, that they were to be greeted cordially.

We were to be friendly. We were to keep in mind that the work we were doing here was confidential, that the Atari employees were aware of that policy and that we were to provide assistance to them as necessary.

Basically that the proprietary information in the company would be secured as best I recall it, drawings put away and things of that sort.

Q Was any specific thing identified as proprietary and confidential?

A Nothing specifically any more than any other, no.

All the work that we did there was considered proprietary.

Q Did you ever get visitors in the Cyan Engineering offices, salesmen?

- A Yes, in the laboratory area.
- Q They were never permitted in the office?

A They would be permitted within the lobby and the office spaces of Larry Emmons, Steve Mayer, Ron Milner and myself.

- Q Never in the laboratory?
- A Not to the best of my recollection.
- Q What was the purpose of the open house?

  MR. KATZ: Objection to the question. You already asked him that.
  - A You already stated what the purpose was.

## BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q Tell me what I stated, sir.

A As I recall the purpose that you stated was to acquaint the Atari people with the work that was being done at Cyan and with the facilities there which is an accurate portrayal of the open house as I recall it.

Q at And the members of their immediate families as you put it in your affidavit, isn't that true?

A Yes. and the latest the latest

MR. KATZ: I want to enter a belated objection to that question --

MR. GOLDENBERG: Let's have one of those.

MR. KATZ: -- to that question with respect to the purpose as lacking foundation with respect to whose purpose, whether or not Mr. Cox knew what the corporate purpose of the open house was because there has been no showing that he knew. BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q Directing your attention to Exhibit 25 once again. Do you recall a hall extending perpendicular essentially to the offices of Cyan Engineering?

A Yes.

Q Is that hall shown in your drawing?

A No. The purpose of the hall shown on the drawing was to indicate where the external wall, external boundaries of the Cyan offices were, not to show the structure of the building.

Q So the drawing isn't quite accurate, is it?

A It is an accurate portrayal of the Cyan offices as I recall them.

- Q But not an accurate portrayal of the hall arrangement?
- A No, I was not trying to draw a building plan.
- Q I believe in the course of questions by Mr. Leach you identified a student technician by the name of Bob?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Do you know what Bob's last name was?
  - A I don't recall.
  - Q Do you know what institution he was attending? You say he was a student.

A As I recall he just graduated from the local high school and was scheduled to attend college in September. I don't recall which college.

Q If you would turn your attention to Exhibit 14 which is your declaration.

Read any portion of it that you wish, sir, but I particularly direct your attention to paragraph 5.

- A Yes.
- Q Sir, this switch debounce problem that you refer to in the early part of the paragraph, how did you happen to observe that problem, sir?
- A During tests where the ball was rolled against the switches we would detect multiple responses to the switch

closure, in other words, multiple accumulations of scores and ringing of the chimes.

Q That meant to you that the switch was closing several times in response to one activation by the ball?

A Yes. The work Actemies to the contract and the first

Q You cured that problem by revising the program slightly, is that correct, sir?

A Yes.

Q You essentially did that by building in a time delay?

A Yes. Actions in an electric service of some and the

Q Do you consider that a difficult thing to do?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question.

### BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q Let me add to it. At the time you did it, sir.

A Moderately difficult within the restrictions placed upon the software development.

- Q What were those restrictions?
- A The use of only the registers for scratch pad memory.
- Q What event or person or thing placed those restrictions?
- A Steve Mayer. As these people or assistant to
- Q Why did he do that? Did he tell you?

A He did not want to use RAM memory because of the increased cost of implementation should the design be committed to production game.

Q So those were economic restrictions?

12

15 16

18

19

17

20 21

22 23

24 25 A Yes.

Q How long did it take you to solve the debounce problem?

A I don't recall specifically. Probably two to three days.

Q Directing your attention to paragraph 6 of Exhibit 14, take your time and read it, sir.

A Okay.

Q You have read the paragraph?

A Yes. The last the second that it is

Q Did you consider at the time you worked on the El Toro project that it was a difficult task requiring a significant technological break through in order to accomplish?

A No, I don't believe I felt that way.

Q Was it more like the good application of good engineering and technical skills?

A More in the nature of development of applications for new integrated circuit devices, microprocessors, memories.

O The devices were there ----

A -- and were new and had not been used broadly in any application and by none of these people or myself in this specific application.

Q The new devices you are talking about are the micro-processor?

A And its support circuits, yes.

Q You had not used them before?

- A That's correct.
- Q To your knowledge your fellow employees at Cyan Engineering had not used them before, is that correct?
  - A That's correct.
  - Q You began the project when?
  - A Shortly after I joined Cyan Engineering in March of 1974.
  - Q The initial part of your work on the project was to become familiar with the INTELLEC 4 system, was it not?
    - A Yes.
    - Q That occupied how much time?
    - A Several weeks.
  - Q When did you actually begin work on the El Toro project as such?
    - A Approximately two weeks after I initiated employment.
    - Q Ater you did what, sir?
    - A Initiated employment with Cyan Engineering.
  - Q So the first couple of weeks were devoted to becoming familiar with the INTELLEC 4 system?
    - A Yes. cri
  - Q Therefore you began work on the El Toro itself sometime between the middle of April and the end of April?
  - A No, I joined the company at the beginning of March.

    It would have been sometime between the middle of March and the end of March.

9

14

17 18

19

20

22

24

23

25

Q The project itself was complete the day before the open house or the week before or what, sir, according to your recollection?

A I don't recall the specific timing relationship between the completion of the project. It was in a state where the El Toro machine could be played with INTELLEC 4 controlling it without improper machine operation for at least one to two weeks prior to the open house.

The specific time period I don't recall.

- Q Let's see if this seems right to you. Based on that it would seem that the project required about two months of actual work?
  - A That's about right.
  - Q Were you working normal hours during that period?
  - A Yes.
  - Q What were normal hours?
  - A Eight hours a day, 8:00 to 5:00.
  - Q Five days a week?
  - A Yes.
  - Q No overtime?
  - A No.
  - Q Did you have a view at that time as to whether or not that was an extraordinate amount of time required for that project?
    - A I felt it was a reasonable amount of time.

22 23

- Q I am directing your attention to paragraph 9 on page 3 of Exhibit 14.
  - A Yes.
  - Q Let me ask you also to read paragraph 8.
  - A Yes.
  - Q How was this policy of secrecy strictly enforced?
- A When I reported to work I was given an employment agreement to sign and was told that all employees had to sign such an agreement. This agreement called for certain obligations on the part of the employee to not disclose the results of any work, to not accept employment for a competitor for a period of seven years, to not go into or operate a competitive business for a period of seven years.

Various other stipulations regarding the position of the employee as a potential competitor to Atari in the future.

Part of that agreement dealt with the confidentiality of the information as I recall it and the nondivulgance of information.

Secondly I was given verbal warnings, briefings on multiple occasions indicating that this was the company policy, that the security procedures in the company very strongly reinforced that policy.

Q Can you tell me something, sir, with all that, why was it that when Mr. Schnayer or Mr. Katz got in telephone conversation with you you started to tell them about all the

things that had occurred?

A I told them about events that occurred which were independent of the specific technical development covered by the proprietary rights of the agreement I signed and of the understanding of my employment. The only technical information I gave them was as a result of my analysis of the documents which they provided and did not disclose any proprietary or technical information to them outside the limits of the information they provided to me.

Q Did you ever question their right to have those documents that they showed you?

A No, I did not.

Q Why not? This are the pure los

A Because they had been entered as exhibits in Court proceedings.

Q How did you know that?

A Because they were marked as such and Mr. Schnayer told me that they had been designated as exhibits.

Q You believed him?

A Yes.

Q Did you make any telephone inquiries of Cyan personnel or Atari personnel to see whether or not you should discuss these matters with anybody?

A As I stated previously I called Steve Mayer after I was originally contacted by Mr. Schnayer and asked him if he

GEIGER A ORIA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

12

17

25

was aware of these proceedings and if they were legitimate. He indicated they were.

I inferred from that conversation that since Mr. Schnayer's firm was a member of these proceedings that he had a right to those exhibits.

Q In your conversations with Mr. Schnayer prior to giving your deposition, who brought up the matter of secrecy at Cyan Engineering? Was it you or was it he?

- A I don't recall specifically who brought it up.
- Q It was Mr. Schnayer, wasn't it?
- A Possibly.
- Q No question about it?
  - MR. KATZ: Objection to the question.
- A If there was no question about it I would have answered your earlier question as to who brought it up first.

  BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
  - Q I am asking you to think about it.
  - A I have thought about it.
- Q It was Mr. Schnayer, wasn't it, very possibly, wasn't it?
  - A I stated that possibility.
- Q It was Mr. Schnayer that wanted you to make a big issue out of it in your affidavit and declaration, wasn't it?
  - A No, it was not.
  - Q You thought to put that in there?

A He wanted the issue of confidentiality addressed, and I endeavored to make it clear just what that policy was.

- Q Just being helpful, weren't you?
- A You bet.
- Q That is what I thought.

In paragraph 9 you make reference to a special burglar alarm system.

Was that burglar alarm system exclusively for the Cyan Engineering offices, or was it for the entire set of offices at Grass Valley?

A As I recall it there were two. There was one that was specifically exclusive to the laboratory area which was an alarm located in the area of Lab 2 which also covered the area of Lab 1 and detected motion of any person in that area that was specifically for the Cyan office area.

There was a second burglar alarm system which was the normal contact-type alarm system which would register a broken window or open door. I'm not certain whether that was a building-wide system or specifically to the Cyan Engineering area.

- Q The guards that you make reference to, did they just patrol the Cyan Engineering office or did they patrol the entire building?
  - A They patrolled the entire building to my understanding.
    (Recess taken.)

## AFTER RECESS

MR. GOLDENBERG: I have no further questions.

## BY MR. KATZ:

Q Mr. Cox, in your direct testimony you indicated that your consulting rate, that is the rate you are charging, for the time spent in connection with this matter was initially \$50 an hour, is that correct?

A Yes. Daniel of the second of

Q What was the basis for your charge at that rate?

A At that time I had a friend doing some consulting work, software consulting work for some firms in California. He was charging \$50 an hour. I thought that was indicative of a market rate for software consulting services.

Q With respect to your rate at the \$75 an hour which you later charged, what was the basis for that?

A The consulting work became more of a nuisance after the move to State College, and I was not too interested in continuing it. I felt the traffic would bear a higher rate, so to discourage it I raised the rate.

Q With respect to page 2 of Deposition Exhibit 23, if you would turn to that.

A yes. again for the large and the

Q I refer you to the handwritten notes near the top and ask you are those notes in your handwriting?

- A Yes, they are.
- Q For clarity of the record, could you read those notes.

  I believe it starts with the resultant --

A A sentence was added to the end of paragraph 5 which reads: resultant data from these discussions were used by Steve Mayer and Greg Cox -- those names are abbreviated by initials -- in the design of the El Toro prototype control circuits.

Then paragraph 6 was modified to read: Steven Mayer who was also an employee of Cyan Engineering and I were involved the discussions referenced in paragraph 5 above.

- Q Then you wrote the material; that is your handwriting?
- A Yes.

It is the discussions. It should have been in the discussions.

Q To clarify a question I have in my mind, in your direct examination by Mr. Leach when you refer to the so-called open house at Cyan, is it correct that you were referring to both the picnic portion and the lab tour?

A Yes. to take messel

Q With respect to Exhibit 25 which is the floor layout I believe that you made during the direct examination, do you recall what kind of flooring material -- that is, linoleum, carpeting, et cetera -- was actually present in the Laboratory Number 1?

- A It was vinyl floor as I recall it.
- Q Vinyl floor?
- A Yes.
- Q Was it the same in Laboratory Number 2?
- A Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Was that the same type of material used for the flooring in the entire office section?

A I am not certain. The lobby and office areas may have been carpeted. I can't recall, but I do remember that the laboratories had vinyl floor.

Q During your work at Cyan, had you ever encountered any static noise problems with respect or in connection with any of the games that you worked on or projects that you worked on?

A No.

- Q Have you ever had occasion to form any opinion or conclusion with respect to the lack of noise problems in connection with the development of those games or projects that you worked on?
  - A Electrostatic noise?
  - Q Yes, electrostatic noise.
- A Well, yes. I was there during a reasonably temperate part of the year. Temperatures were not too hot or too cold. Humidity levels tended to be reasonably low.

From my personal experience wool carpeting seems to

be one of the largest contributors to electrostatic charge build-up. I don't believe there was any wool carpeting in the area.

I guess I felt that it was a fairly static-free noise environment.

Q Did you encounter any other kind of electrical noise problems on any of the projects that you worked on at Cyan?

A There were several occasions where we encountered interference or noise problems due to the locations of devices on the circuit board or the locations of wires, normal electronic design noise problems. There were several occasions.

- Q Were those types of noise problems the result of noise generated internal to the device itself?
  - A Internal to the circuits, yes.
- Q Did you ever encounter any noise problems on any project generated by some external source, something external to the circuit itself?
- Teap A Not that I recall.
- Q To the best of your recollection what was the material for the flooring in the offices, in your own office, do you recall?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Asked and answered. Objection.

A I'm not certain. I think it was vinyl flooring, but it may have been carpeted. It may have been some sort of a nylon carpeting.

3

5

4

7

6

8

10

9

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

20

2223

> 24 25

A No. I think it was vinyl, but I can't be certain. Q With respect to your notes produced which were marked as Exhibit 7, did you make this note in the upper

right-hand corner of the document which refers to I believe to Gottlieb & Company?

BY MR. KATZ:

I don't recall a long pile carpet.

It is not too legible in the copies.

Acting as a friend of Gottlieb & Company is what it Α says.

If it was a carpet, it was a denser low pile carpet.

Do you remember any carpet?

Q Did you make that note; is that your writing?

Sien A Wo Yes, I did. June 1972, pro seu with a se

What occasioned your making that note? Q

That was made during a telephone conversation with Α Steve Mayer. He indicated that was Atari's position with respect to the proceedings which Mr. Schnayer was associated with.

This was on the occasion of the telephone call to Steve Mayer after I had been initially contacted by Gerry Schnayer.

Did he say anything more in that regard, in regard to Atari acting as a friend of Gottlieb in connection with these proceedings? The track be better the better

- A Only that they were not a direct participant.
- Q Did he say anything with respect to Atari's position vis-a-vis Bally's position?

A He indicated that Gottlieb and Bally were on opposite sides of the proceedings of the suit and that Atari was not a participant so they would not have a legal relationship to either Bally or Gottlieb to the best of my understanding.

- Q Did he state any understanding or make any statement indicating what he thought Bally's position in the proceedings was?
  - A Not that I recall.
- Q Further down in your notes I see it says -- here is the original that Mr. Goldenberg has kindly provided -- after Steven Mayer it says June, 1978. Did you write that?
- A Yes.
  - Q What occasioned your writing that?
- A I believe that was from the results of my telephone call with Gerry Schnayer where he indicated Bally had received a patent for microprocessor control for pinball circuits in June of 1978 based on work that was done in 1973 and 1974 relating to the position of Bally in the lawsuit.
- Q Was this note then occasioned by your conversation with Mr. Schnayer and not Mr. Mayer?
  - A I believe so, yes.
  - Q At the open house were you present in the Cyan

facilities during the tour portion of the open house?

A Yes.

Q Were you present during the entire one to two hour period that you indicated that portion took?

A To the best of my recollection. I may have left for a few minutes to get Cokes or softdrinks, but other than that I was there continuously.

Q From looking at the El Toro pinball set-up which you indicated was involved in the El Toro pinball machine and the INTELLEC 4 and this interface unit, could an observer determine what the architecture of the computer system was from seeing it at the open house?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, relevancy, nor is it reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

A It would have been obvious that the system was controlled by an INTEL 4004 microprocessor, but other than that the details of the implementation would not have been obvious.

BY MR. KATZ:

Q That would have only been obvious if one were to know that there was a 4004 INTEL microprocessor in the microprocessor system?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, leading.

A Yes.

BY MR. KATZ:

Q Would the programming used to implement the system have been apparent to any observer at the open house?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, leading.

A No.

BY MR. KATZ:

Q In the INTELLEC 4 development system as it was employed with the El Toro, was there any random access memory used in the INTELLEC to function as RAM, as random access memory?

A Yes.

Q How was that used?

A The INTELLEC 4 contained two types of memories. It contained a RAM memory which was intended to function as a RAM memory would in a dedicated microprocessor application.

Then there was a RAM memory which was intended to function as a ROM memory would in a dedicated microprocessor application.

The RAM memory functioned as a dedicated RAM was not used.

- Q Wasn't that used in the --
  - A El Toro software implementation.
- Q But the RAM that was intended to function as ROM was used, is that correct?

A Yes. . Take not contain.

GEIGER & LONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

Q In the wiring of the El Toro pinball machine after it was modified to operate with the INTELLEC 4, do you know whether the switches were actually wired in a matrix under the playfield of that machine?

A I don't know where the matrix logic was. I don't know whether it was in the interface circuit card that was external to the El Toro enclosure or within it. I don't recall

Q Do you remember a cable coming from the El Toro pinball machine to the interface card?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, leading.

A Yes.

#### BY MR. KATZ:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Do you know how many wires there were on that cable?
- A I don't recall.
- Q Do you remember what type of cable it was, if any, could you describe that type of cable?
  - A I don't recall what it was like.
- Q Do you remember how it exited or passed through -- strike that.

Did the cable pass through the cabinet of the El Toro machine?

- A Yes.
- O Do you remember where it passed through the cabinet?
- A I think it came through the back in a hole cut in the cabinet, but I am not certain.

GEIGER & KENA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

Q Do you remember if all of the wires in the cable were the same color?

A I don't recall.

Q Do you recall whether that was sort of a home made cable as distinguished from a cable that one would purchase already assembled, a ribbon cable that is manufactured.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, leading.

I remember.

## BY MR. KATZ: I and second of the game was .

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Was it laced? The restance of

A Partially.

Q What do you mean by that?

A Well, I don't recall the specifics. I do remember that the wiring under the playfield was a real rats nest. It was a mess. There was some attempt to try and straighten the wires out with lacing to try and hold groups of wires together.

It seems to me that there was a significant number of wires -- 20 to 50 -- some reasonably large number of wires connecting the interface unit to the El Toro enclosure, and those were grouped together, laced together from where they exited the cabinet of the El Toro machine till where they connected with the interface unit box.

But it was not an elegant or professional-type cable.

Q From the interface unit to the INTELLEC 4, I believe

you indicated on direct testimony that that was a ribbon cable, is that your recollection?

A Yes.

Q On examination by Mr. Goldenberg at our last session I believe you indicated that when you were working on a gambling-type of game which we referred to as a game box and you wanted to use the INTELLEC 4 to operate with that game box, that it was not a difficult task in that the only change that was necessary was to disconnect the ribbon cable from the interface unit and reconnect it to the game box.

Do you recall that testimony?

A Yes.

Q Would anything else have to be done to the INTELLEC 4 unit if you were to use it to operate the game box after having just used it to operate the El Toro pinball set-up?

A The game box software program would have to be loaded into the INTELLEC 4 memory.

Q In what form was the game box programmed?

A The programs were typically stored on an input medium of punched paper tape and read into the INTELLEC 4 via the teletype tape reader.

Q Approximately how long would it take to load the punch paper program of, let's say, the El Toro program into the development system?

A Maybe 20 minutes.

23

24

25

Q Was the teletype tape reader unit normally kept in proximity to the El Toro development set-up when you were working on it?

A ryes. her the lips are particular approximation

Q At the open house do you recall whether the paper tape reader was also present at that set-up?

A A I believe it was.

Q How big a unit was the teletype? Approximately the size of a normal teletype terminal?

Yes. It was a standard teletype terminal approxi-Α mately 16 to 18 inches wide and 32 inches tall, 20 inches deep.

Q So is it correct then that whenever you were going to use the INTELLEC 4 for operation with the El Toro project it was necessary to load the paper tape program into the INTELLEC 4 through the teletype reader?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, leading.

A to Yes. The trace 1 amount?

# BY MR. KATZ: Files f versit, the same to use the grant and

Q At any time during your work on the El Toro pinball project at Cyan did you ever have any discussions with Steven Mayer concerning any aspects of his hardware design? TenAn (Yes. a horbare relating to the smart willie we in

Q What were those discussions concerning?

A We discussed the general architecture of the hardware implementation that he was developing with regard to the methods employed for operating the hardware within the El Toro game, the lights, the switches, the solenoids, chimes and so forth.

Q Did you ever have any disagreements with him with respect to in your view how any particular aspect of the hardware design should be implemented?

A Yes, specifically over the implementation of the switch sensing. I felt it would be more straightforward and direct to use a direct poling scheme on the switches rather than a matrix scheme that was tied to the 120 hertz cycle.

The implementation used made the switch sensing, the light control and the LED score refreshing all interdependent which imposed significant restrictions on the software design.

I discussed the possibility of making those functions independent with Steve Mayer.

Q Were there any other differences in views concerning any other portions of the hardware system relating to the digital displays or the lamps?

A Not that I recall. I did want to use RAM memory as a scratch pad area but was advised to limit the scratch pad area to that available in the registers.

Q In connection with your view of what principals should be used for the hardware relating to the switch poling which I believe you were discussing in your last answer, do you recall what Mr. Mayer's views were concerning that portion of the hardware design?

GEIGER & LONG REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109-

MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, hearsay.

A Yes. We discussed that the implementation I preferred to have required additional circuits at increased cost. Since minimal cost was one of the objectives of the implementation it was decided that that scheme would only be used if the scheme that was actually implemented would not work, could not be made to work.

BY MR. KATZ:

Q Was there any discussion concerning the use of multiplexing for the digit displays, discussion with Mayer?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, leading.

A Yes. I had expressed the position that it would be easier to develop the software and make a more straightforward program if the LED displays could be controlled independently from the 120 hertz AC cycle which would make it independent from the switch sensing and the playfield light control.

Again I got the same argument that minimum hardware implementation was the objective and the multiplexing scheme that was implemented would be used.

Q As far as you knew was it Steven Mayer who had the final say on what the hardware design was that was actually used to implement the El Toro pinball project?

- A Yes.
- Q Could I see Exhibit 26.

This was a document I believe that you referred to

on direct examination by Mr. Leach in our second session I believe.

Is this document entirely in your handwriting if you can tell?

A No, I don't believe so. There appear to be at least annotations on it that aren't in my handwriting.

Q What are those annotations?

A Well, I'm not sure. Give me another minute to look through.

Q Sure, take your time.

A On page 6, for example, there is notations above the various entry points in the subroutines. I am not certain whether it is my handwriting or not. It looks somewhat different, but somewhat similar. I can't be certain.

MR. GOLDENBERG: I just want to be sure we are looking at the same page.

A Yes. OTHER ROSS or rect to the rest of the start.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Thank you.

A The page with switch service routines on the top.

BY MR. KATZ:

Q Could you point to specifically what you are referring to, what comment or notations you are referring to?

A The title switch service routines and the comments below it. Indirect entries and the various indicators which are written outside ovals or diamonds or boxes.

GEIGER & REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109-

For instance, in the top left-hand corner slingshots and then to the right of that in parentheses activate SS solenoid.

Below that thumper bumpers. Below that E roll-over. Below that L roll-over.

And so forth throughout the page and the next page after that.

The writing appears similar to mine but not exactly the same. I can't recall whether I made those comments on the page or not.

I guess I can't be certain that either the writing is all mine or that there are comments inserted by someone else. I can't be certain either way. In places the handwriting does appear different though.

- Q Can you identify what those documents are, Exhibit 26?
- A It is a flow chart.

- MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, asked and answered.
- MR. KATZ: When did I ask it?
- MR. GOLDENBERG: Mr. Leach asked it.
- MR. KATZ: You can't ask the same question on cross examination of a witness?
- MR. GOLDENBERG: I don't think you should. I think you should progress beyond that. If you enjoy doing that kind of a thing I will sit here quietly up to a point.
  - A It is a flow chart of a version of the El Toro pinball

software as best I can tell. I could not be specific as to which version this represents.

BY MR. KATZ:

Q Is it correct that you can't tell whether that is the version at the open house or sometime prior to the open house during the development?

A That's correct.

Q Let me show you this colored glossy reproduction that has been previously marked in a deposition as Exhibit GD-56 in which those fragments of broken Xerox copies marked in this deposition as I believe 21.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Mr. Katz, so there is no question about it, Exhibit 21 is GD-56 cut up into four parts. You characterized it as fragments.

MR. KATZ: I'm sorry. I believe it was made by Xeroxing GD-56 8-1/2 by 11 at a time.

MR. GOLDENBERG: And therefore represents GD-56.

BY MR. KATZ:

Q If you paste it back together it should come out except it is not in color as GD-56 is a color reproduction of the expensive variety.

Have you ever seen the original drawing of GD-56 prior to the time Jerry Schnayer showed it to you?

In other words, have you ever seen this drawing?

Now I am not talking about GD-56, but the original schematic

which was copied as GD-56. Had you ever seen that prior to the time that Jerry Schnayer showed you this particular color reproduction?

A I believe so. There was a schematic which appeared identical or very similar which was the working schematic for the El Toro pinball electronics. This appears to be that schematic.

Q Do you have any independent recollection that the circuitry depicted in GD-56 was actually the circuitry used in the El Toro development set-up as operated with the INTELLEC 4?

A Yes, I recall the basic architecture of the hardware as it is shown here.

The control interfaces between the microprocessor circuits and the interface circuits shown in this schematic are also the same ones used in the software so that those interfaces support this as being the schematic used for the El Toro.

Q Do you have a specific recollection, for example, do you know -- and I have picked out a chip at random -- do you know if a 9312 chip was used here as shown under the 4004? Do you know if that chip was actually used, the 9312?

A No, I don't recall the specific chips that were used.

Q Or here if we pick a NAND gate, a 4001 chip, do you know if that was actually used?

A Yes, I know that 4001, 4002 chips were used. I can't

recall the specific implementation of all the gates and chips in the circuit.

Q For example, do you recall here there is a chip designated 3-B and it is a 7442.

Do you recall if that was actually the chip designated that was used in the actual physical implementation?

A I don't remember.

4

5

Q It is correct, is it not, that while you recall the architecture generally you don't recall the specific gate and chip designations that were actually used?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, lack of a foundation.

That is not in accord at all with what the witness said. He specifically identified some chips that were used and he recognized on the drawing. Some he did recognize, some he did not.

A Would you repeat the question.

(Question read by reporter.)

A I recall some of the device nomenclatures that were used, such as, 4001, 4002. I don't recall the specifics of their implementation in terms of the individual utlizations for each gate or logic section within the integrated circuit.

The schematic is very familiar in that the architecture of the switch sensing I recall. I recall the architecture of the light control circuits, the architecture of the LED control circuits.

The schematic has a very general visual impression that is very familiar.

Q In connection with your work on the El Toro pinball project at Cyan, do you recall whether there was ever any discussion of any attempts to design a microprocessor system that would be useful with any pinball machine rather than specifically with the El Toro?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, leading.

A You mean, a general purpose-type program that could accommodate various playfields and various game configurations?

BY MR. KATZ:

Q Or hardware system.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, leading.

A No, I don't recall such discussions.

#### BY MR. KATZ:

Q Do you recall when you were first shown a sketch of any hardware system for the pinball project at Cyan?

A I don't recall a specific event of seeing the sketch for the first time although I am certain it happened.

Q Do you recall whether it was after you had the development system, the INTELLEC 4 development system?

A I think it was before the INTELLEC 4 arrived.

Q Do you recall, do you have any specific recollection of the occasion when you first saw a sketch or any drawing of the hardware system?

A No.

Q Do you know if the drawing that we were discussing -- GD-56 or a sketch very similar to that -- was the first sketch that you saw of a hardware system for the pinball project at Cyan?

A No, I don't believe that was the first drawing. I think the first drawings were in bits and pieces, the various portions of the schematic were done independently and then later compiled together on a single sheet as a single drawing.

I think the early schematic, the early designs were for portions of the electronics.

Q Do you have any recollection of any revisions in those drawings from one portion to the next or one sketch to the next?

A Yes, there were revisions. I don't remember the details of what those revisions were.

- Q Who was in charge of making those revisions?
- A Steve Mayer.
- Q Did anyone else work with him to your knowledge on the hardware system?

A He had discussions with Larry Emmons and Ron Milner and certainly the technicians on the schematics and the hardware implementation, but I can't say that those other people had a hand in the design or the implementation because I don't know if they make specific contributions on the circuit designs.

My general impression was that Steve Mayer did the design essentially by himself.

If he had any assistance it was minimal.

Q With respect to those people at Cyan whom you were familiar with --

A Yes.

Q -- on direct examination today by Mr. Goldenberg you indicated that you encountered a problem of switches stuck in a closed position that you would have attempted to correct it within the program, do you recall that testimony?

A Yes.

3

)

)

1

 $^2$ 

3

4

25

Q Do you know for certain that you could have corrected the problem within the program given the specific hardware system that was used and the debouncer requirements that were necessary?

A No, I don't know that that could have been done. On the contrary, it might not have been possible because there was no RAM memory used in that implementation.

Q With respect to testimony concerning your experience with noise problems that you encountered at other jobs before you went to work for Cyan, do you think that it is a correct characterization that the solution to such noise problems is more of an art than a science?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, leading.

INC. BURE, R. BUT BE BY LIFE THE

BY MR. KATZ:

Q I am talking about noise problems in general.

A No. In general I think solutions to noise problems fall into the realm of scientific rather than artistic. It is generally controlled by an understanding of circuit board layout and electrical design procedures and criteria.

Q Isn't that often the case, that the solution to noise problems from your experience are often a plague to the designers and often give much trouble in terms of locating the source and then coming up with a cure?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection, leading.

A Yes, generally that is true. They tend to be difficult to find. Generally impact the design to rectify.

BY MR. KATZ:

Q Referring to your affidavit, Exhibit 23, paragraph 11, the last sentence where you state that to my knowledge no tests were performed on the modified El Toro where the response of playfield switch closure to a ball in play were tested in real time other than observation of the game during play. By the term observation, were you referring to your observation of the game or someone else's observation?

A Both.

Q Other than yours, who were the other observers to whom you make reference, if you recall?

A Steve Mayer, Ed Schleeter, Mike Rogers.

Q Is it your understanding that as you stated in paragraph 12 of your affidavit, Exhibit 23, that none of these people really had any expertise in the proper operation of a pinball machine?

A I would clarify that understanding. There was an occasion where an individual named Joel Miller who worked at Atari came to visit, and he was purported to be a pinball expert.

He came to give whatever advice he may have to assist us in the development of the El Toro electronic pinball.

He was an experienced pinball game player, and that was the limit of his expertise. So by inference having been told by Steve Mayer and Larry Emmons and others he was the expert within the corporate structure I was led to the assumption that there was no one in the company that had specific experience in the design, manufacture of pinball games.

Steve Mayer, Larry Emmons and others at Cyan Engineering had explicitly told me that they did not have that experience.

Q In connection with this observation of the game during play, that is what you might consider an eyeball observation, watching it and getting just a general view of it?

A Yes.

Q I believe during your direct testimony you indicated your work on the El Toro project stopped sometime you believe

in June of 1974, is that correct? That approximate time frame, yes. You left Cyan in August of 1974, is that correct? Yes. To your knowledge was any work done during that time interval on the El Toro project? A Not that I recall. Q To your knowledge was any work done on any pinball project at Cyan during that period? No. Α Q Do you believe that you were in a position at Cyan to most likely know if any work were done on the pinball project? MR. GOLDENBERG: Objection. A Yes, I believe I was in that position up until the last two weeks of my employment. After I gave notice they may have withheld information from me. At the present time do you still believe that all of the statements that you made in your affidavit and your declara-18 tion are true and correct to the best of your recollection? 19 Yes. You told the kind to 20 A MR. KATZ: I have no further questions. 21 RECROSS EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. GOLDENBERG: 23 Q I just have a few. Would you take Exhibit 7, sir, 24 the original. 25

GEIGER LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

I believe it was your testimony in response to a question from Mr. Katz that this note in your handwriting -- acting as a friend of Gottlieb & Co. -- that is a note you made as a result of a telephone conversation with Steve Mayer?

A Yes.

Q Tell me, sir, that all the other notes above the line in Exhibit 7, do they refer to notes of conversations with Mr. Mayer or Mr. Schnayer?

A Mr. Schnayer.

Q Isn't it possible, sir, that this note -- acting as a friend of Gottlieb & Co. -- that is something Mr. Schnayer said to you characterizing Cyan Engineering and Atari?

A No, I specifically recall the words being stated to me by Steve Mayer.

Q When did you have that conversation with Mr. Mayer?

A The same day I spoke with Mr. Schnayer for the first time.

Q You made that note there in the same area.

Below the line there are some names and telephone numbers. Could you tell me what those are?

A Steve Mayer's name and then the telephone number for Cyan Engineering which I called and found out that Steve was not at Cyan Engineering but was at Atari in the San Francisco Bay area. They gave me his phone number there which is also present on the sheet of paper.

GEIGER LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

Q For some reason you chose to make your notes of the Mayer conversation in the area where you made your notes of conversation with Mr. Schnayer, is that correct?

A Yes. There was a reason for that.

Q What was that?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Those notes from Mr. Schnayer indicated that there was a pinball patent which was granted and held by Bally. There were some notes relating to the legal proceedings. I made the note that Atari's relationship with Gottlieb relating to those proceedings in that same area on that piece of paper.

Q Mr. Schnayer didn't tell you that Atari was acting as a friend of D. Gottlieb?

A No. I asked him what Atari's role was in the proceedings, and he told me they were not a participant.

Q That is all he told you?

A That's all he told me.

Q With respect to the modified El Toro game, whether or not the matrix was wired under the playfield, there was in fact a matrix, was there not?

Q The switches were connected in the matrix, were they not?

A Yes. Judy les a lie and a man and the

Q The lamps were?

A re Yes. o hence to write the progress by a ring a a

GEIGEN LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

And the digital display was connected to that same 1 Q 2 matrix? A 3 Yes. Q When Mr. Mayer told you that he wanted to have the 4 hardware connected in that phase, I take it you discussed the 5 6 matter with him, is that correct? Yes. If a A configuration of the property of the second of 7 Q And you questioned that? 8 Yes: The are function of the barbages and the in-9 Α Q He didn't accept your thoughts but held to his 10 original thinking, is that correct? 11 Yes. Yes. 12  $Q_{\downarrow}$  That was because he believed that to be a more 13 economical way to do it? 14 Yes. on was can come ere. 15 MR. KATZ: Objection to the question on the grounds 16 that the witness isn't competent to testify as to what Mayer 17 was thinking. 18 BY MR. GOLDENBERG: 19 Q That is something he told you, wasn't it, sir? 20 A To the best of my recollection, yes. 21 The desire to do it economically was one of the 22 criteria or objectives of the program, wasn't it? 23 Yes. There were other factors, one being the 24 increased use of memory to write the program by using the 25 GENER & LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109implementation selected by Steve Mayer. There was some discussion of which was the cheaper implementations: additional interface electronics or the additional memory required to do it his way. He was the final authority and decided on his implementation which is reflected in the schematic.

That is a situation that arises frequently in the design of computer controlled electronic equipment as to whether to perform a particular function using hardware or do it in software, isn't it?

Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as lacking foundation.

### BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- Based on your experience, sir? 0
- Yes, it is. Α
- Decisions are reached using engineering compromises, Q

is that a fair statement, sir?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as leading and mischaracterizing prior testimony.

# BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- The decisions are reached based on what the project leader wants to do, isn't it?
- A Dictatorial authority, yes. We had a disagreement. I felt it was less expensive to do it in a more straightforward

ORIA REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

24

25

manner and Steve Mayer disagreed. He was the designer, so he

- Q That is not uncommon?
- A No, that is standard operating procedure.
- Q This game box that you spoke of -- I believe it is your testimony that you worked on that and then that the INTELLEC 4 system could be connected either to the game box or the El Toro game, is that correct, sir?

A Yes.

- Q The cabling changes had to be made and also the different programs had to be utilized depending on which game was going to be controlled?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Was the same interface board used, sir?
  - A No.
  - Q It was a different interface board?
  - A Yes.
- Q In response to the questions about sketches and drawings, you didn't recall the first time you saw sketches and drawings.

Did you see any kind of sketch or drawing or schematic prior to the open house?

T recall seeing preliminary and early

A Oh, yes. I recall seeing product of the Versions of schematics and sketches. I just don't recall the Specific event of the first time I saw it.

24

25

But it was prior to the open house by, what -- several Q weeks?

Oh, more than that. It would have been very soon after I began work on the project. Probably within the first few days or first week.

Do you know the sketches that you recall seeing, sir, Q do you recall who made them?

A Steve Mayer.

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as lacking foundation.

#### BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q I believe it is your testimony in response to a question from Mr. Katz that Mr. Mayer did the design of the modified El Toro system, is that correct?

Yes. Te told the late paralle Α

There may have been suggestions from Mr. Emmons or Mr. Milner and others at Cyan Engineering? Yes.

Q Do you recall, sir, whether he received any suggestions or help with that design from sources external to Cyan As we have discussed at some length there were Engineering?

consultations with Intel personnel. Q Would you recall any specific thing that Intel people

told Mr. Mayer to do or suggested that he do?

REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET. HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109-

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No, I don't know the specifics.

Do you know that they suggested anything specific to Q him, sir?

Α Yes.

What was that? Q

By matter of inference in that he would discuss a Α problem that he was having with me, indicate he was going to make a phone call to Intel to seek some additional information to help him resolve the problem. Sometime later he would come back after that phone call within the same day and indicate he had a new approach or a method for surmounting the difficulties he was having.

Give me an example of such a problem, such a phone call, such a come back by Mr. Mayer.

I have told you I don't recall the specifics of those.

You don't recall any specifics? Q

A

Do you recall, sir, whether they suggested to him Q that he use the matrix in the system?

Since I don't recall the specifics, I can't say

whether they recommended the matrix or not.

The matter of the decision to use a matrix in the System, was that made just about the time you arrived or had it been made prior to your arrival on the scene, if you know? MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as lacking

TOOTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

23

24

25

foundation.

A I don't know.

BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

When was the first time that you heard that a matrix was going to be used in the system?

A The first time the implementation of the hardware was used.

L L P C Q LA That was shortly after your arrival there?

A Yes, but I don't know whether that decision had been made by Steve Mayer prior to my arrival or not.

Q Mr. Joel Miller, you talked about him, sir. How long was he at Cyan Engineering on the occasion of this visit?

A One day. He came late one day, stayed overnight and left the next day.

Q Did he visit part of the second day as well, or do you know? I don't i live to had any opposition to the total

A I think he was in the Cyan facilities only one day.

Was he there an hour, two hours, three hours? What is your best recollection?

A Half a day.

Three to four hours at most? Q

Α

What was the status of the El Toro project on the Occasion of his visit? I think the software and the hardware were in an

SEPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109-

Š

24

advanced development stage. The coding and testing of the software had begun and the fabrication of the interface board

Was the game itself in a playable condition? Q

I don't believe it was at the time he visited, but I'm not certain. It may have been just becoming playable.

Q Sir, what is your recollection about what he did or looked at insofar as the El Toro project was concerned on the occasion of that visit?

A As I recall he reviewed the hardware design and schematics with Steve Mayer and the software architecture and software implementation with me, looked at the playfield and the game and so forth.

Do you recall, sir, whether he made any comments or Q suggestions at that time?

A I don't believe he had any suggestions relating to improvement of what Steve and I were doing.

Do you recall, sir, whether he said anything to the look, you guys have got it all wrong. You better go effect: back and start over again?

No, I don't recall anything like that. Did the course of the project change as a result of Α

A No, I don't recall his visit having any influence on his visit?

INC., 1000 MARKET STREET. HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109 it what soever.

6

9

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

19

21

23

22

24

25

At any time that you had any kind of an association Q with the El Toro project did anyone say: look, this is not working, we have got to start over again, anything like that?

A Not exactly. I do recall an event where a -- let's call it a production pricing exercise was conducted where Steve Mayer worked with some of the production people at Atari to determine what production costs may be for the design of the El Toro game that we had developed.

As a result of that exercise it was found that there was no cost advantage to the microprocessor implementation over the standard electromechanical implementation.

At that time work on the project was halted.

- Q Was that after the open house?
- A Yes.
- Do you know where this production pricing exercise was carried out? Was it carried out in Grass Valley or was it down in Sunnyvale?
- A Both places. Atari was in Los Gatos at that time.
- I'm sorry. Did these production people come to Grass Valley for this purpose?
- A I don't believe so. I believe that Steve Mayer took the schematics and some notes as to the hardware required for a microprocessor controlled circuit including the microprocessor memories, diode chips and things of that sort.
- They did some packaging and costing based on that.

Q How do you know work on the project was stopped?

A Steve Mayer indicated the results of their pricing session were such that there was no cost advantage and therefore no competitive advantage to put the game into production, that improvements to it would just cost additional money and therefore the program would be terminated at that point.

Q He said that to you?

A More or less. Not in those specific words. I don't recall the specific words, but he indicated there was no cost advantage or no competitive advantage to continuing at that point.

There might have been an advantage in the future when the price of such integrated circuits as were used in this design came down.

Q That was the problem, wasn't it, sir, that at that time the microprocessor and its associated chips were relatively new in the marketplace and their prices were relatively high, weren't they?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as calling for speculation. There is no showing that this witness has the foundation to answer a question like that.

Also I object to the question as being indefinite as what do you mean by the problem.

BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

If you know, sir, the cost problem that we have been

TENDETING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109-

talking about.

Q One of the factors controlling the cost of such machine was the cost of the integrated circuits which were new and which were not overly expensive but history had shown that prices of such devices decrease dramatically with time.

Q That has been your experience really as long as you have been in the electronics business?

A Yes

Q New devices come on the market, initially their cost is high and as industry gears up to produce them their cost drops really dramatically?

A Yes.

Q. Things which at one time were not economically feasible subsequently become economically feasible?

A Yes.

Q I think you did testify in that respect that before you left some additional pinball machines were received by Cyan Engineering, isn't that correct?

Yes, I recall at least one additional machine being brought into the facility. I believe it was in a non-operating state. I can't recall playing it or seeing anybody play it.

- Q Do you recall the name of that game?
- A No, I don't.
- Q Was it Delta Queen?
  - I'm not certain.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
1'	
16	
2	
	21
	22
	23
	24
36	25

- Isn't that a name suggested to you by Mr. Schnayer in Q a conversation with him?
  - Α That's true, yes.
  - Q Do you have any reason to disagree with that?
- A I have no reason to disagree or agree because I don't have a specific recollection of what it was.
  - Do you know the manufacturer of the game Delta Queen? Q
  - No, I don't.
- Q What was the purpose of bringing in this additional pinball machine, operating or non-operating, as you understood it at that time, sir?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as lacking foundation that he knows what the purpose was and whose purpose.

A I was not told why it was brought in.

## BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- Q So some interest in pinball continued, didn't it, about the time you were leaving Atari, did it not?
- MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as lacking foundation that this witness would know whether there was an interest or not an interest.

# BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- Can you answer the question?
- 1 Q
- There was interest, but I cannot be specific as to what that interest was. The people at Cyan Engineering enjoyed playing games including pinball machines, and we had several INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

8 9

7

10 11

13

14

12

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

24

machines placed at local establishments, bars and so forth around the town and split the proceeds from those games with the establishment owners.

It could have been for in-house use of play. could have been for additional development work. It could have been for placement at a local business establishment.

I don't know what the interest was in that machine.

Was that a relatively common practice at Cyan Q Engineering, to put games out at local establishments?

During the time I was there we always had one or Α more out, yes.

Was that ever done with El Toro? Q

A A No, not to the best of my knowledge. Not while I was with Cyan Engineering.

tion Q or Tell me, sir, when they did that with a game at a local establishment, how did they keep it secret?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as presupposing that those games were secret.

The only game that I recall was one of the early versions of Road Race, a road racing game. It was placed in a bar in town just about the time the production was started on that game so there would have been no need for secrecy since it was in production.

It was not the policy to place games that were in development out in the field. SERVICE. INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109 Q Once games were done with development they went out for this local establishment kind of thing?

A Yes.

Q That was not uncommon policy at Cyan Engineering, to your knowledge?

A Correct.

MR. GOLDENBERG: I have no further questions.

MR. KATZ: I would like to have a recess so I can discuss a question with counsel before I consider it.

(Recess taken.)

#### AFTER RECESS

BY MR. KATZ:

1

4

5

6

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q I only have one question. With the El Toro construction, you referred to the switches and the lights and the digital display just now on redirect by Mr. Goldenberg as being a single matrix.

I don't know if you meant to say that or whether you meant to say that they were in a single matrix or separate matrices or what.

A They are in separate matrices but the matrices are interdependent in that some of the same controlled signals are used in all three matrices. What I was referring to was that used in all three matrices. What I was referring to was that dependency between those three arrays or matrices of functions dependency between those three arrays or matrices of functions dependency between those three arrays or matrices of functions dependency between those three arrays or matrices of functions an additional design restriction imposed upon the which has an additional design restriction imposed upon the

software.

MR. KATZ: I have no further questions.

MR. GOLDENBERG: I would like the record to show that at this recess Mr. Katz and Mr. Cox' attorney had a conversation. Then Mr. Cox had a separate conversation with his attorney.

During your conversation with your attorney, sir, was this matter of matrices discussed?

No, it was not. I am glad you clarified that so Α there would be no false implication on the record.

MR. GOLDENBERG: I am sure you are, sir, I am sure you are.

I have no questions.

(Whereupon the deposition was concluded.)

I hereby certify that I have read the foregoing testimony and subscribe to the same.

## Gregory Cox

	that this signature was placed in
	hereby certify that this signature was placed in day of, 1981
my presence	on this day of, 1981  on this day of, 1981  authorized Notary Public in and for the County of  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. My
I am a duly	authorized Notary russ authorized Notary russ Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. My
commission	expires
Collanz	OBTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET. HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109-
	SETING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET ST

8

9

0

21

22

23

24

25

INDEX

Witness

GREGORY COX

Cross

Recross

Goldenberg - 279 Goldenberg - 350 Katz - 326 Katz - 365

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109-

INSTRUCTIONS TO DEPONENT FOR READING AND SIGNING DEPOSITION

By law you are entitled to read, list changes and your reasons therefore, and sign your deposition.

Do not deface the deposition by making changes on the deposition. Changes in form or substance must be listed below by page and line number, the change, and your reason therefore.

Sign your name on the line below and return this form (and any

additional sheets) to the address below.

This is a legal document and must be returned for filing along with the original transcript of your deposition within 30 days. If not returned, or returned unsigned, the deposition will be filed stating the reason(s) for noncompliance.

If you have any questions, you may call your attorney, or call

Geiger & Loria Reporting Service, Inc.

#### CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT

Bally v GOTTLIE	3, WILLIAMS and ROCKWELL	USDC Northern Illinois
Caption and Venue	to the control of the	Eastern Division
Gregory Cox	October 12, 1981	Civil 78 C 2246
Name of Deponent		Date of Deposition

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I have read the foregoing described deposition and that to the best of my knowledge it is true and accurate (with the exception of the following changes:)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE		
280	23	HE WANTER ME TO to MISSPOKEN		
	i.	HE WANTED TO		
285	6	AND TO IN TRANSCRIPTION CLROR		
315	15	LABORDIORY TO DEGLE MISSIRKEN = 2 TEANSCRIPTION		
		t RPOK		
21	74	diade to I/O TRANSCRIPTION ERCE		
360		alabe 10 +10		
<u></u>				
		The C. Co.		
Date	Dest	Standfure of Weponent Standfure of Weponent STAN REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA		
GEIGER & YORLA REPORTING				